

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:)	Mail Stop Amendment
)	
Lisheng HUANG)	Group Art Unit: 2668
)	
Application No.: 08/575,433)	Examiner: P. Tran
)	
Filed: December 20, 1995)	
)	
For: HYBRID PACKET-SWITCHED)	
AND CIRCUIT-SWITCHED)	
TELEPHONY SYSTEM)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Window, Mail Stop Amendment
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

STATEMENT REGARDING SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

In accordance with Applicant's duty to provide a statement of the substance of an interview, Applicant conducted a telephone interview with Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) Chi Pham on April 3, 2006. Applicant would like to thank SPE Pham for the courtesies extended during the telephone interview. During the interview, Applicant noted that the Office Action, dated January 24, 2006, was improper because the Examiner re-opened prosecution after the filing of an Appeal Brief without the express consent of the Examiner's supervisor, as required by M.P.E.P. § 1207.04. SPE Pham agreed that the Office Action was improper.

Applicant and SPE Pham further discussed claim 38 with respect to the outstanding 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejection based on Turok (U.S. Patent No. 6,243,373) and Bhusri (U.S. Patent No. 6,775,375). Applicant presented arguments as to why claim 38 distinguishes over Turok and Bhusri. SPE Pham agreed that the rejection would be withdrawn, but alleged that Turok alone

anticipates claim 38, as currently presented. No agreement was reached with respect to claim 38 and the Turok reference.

CONCLUSION

Supervisor Pham agreed to withdraw the Office Action, dated January 24, 2006, and issue a new Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,

HARRITY SNYDER, L.L.P.

By: /John E. Harrity/
John E. Harrity
Reg. No. 43,367

Date: April 24, 2006

11350 Random Hills Road
Suite 600
Fairfax, VA 22030
Telephone: (571) 432-0800
Facsimile: (571) 432-0808